JUL 1 2 2000 TRADEMIN OF TRADEMIN

526 Rec'd PCT/PTO 12 JUL 2008
ional Chapter - US 09 m/s 60 9 5 41 6

PCT Applicant's Guide - Volume II - National Chapter - US

Express Mail No. EM560647674US

FORM PTO- (REV 10-95)		T OF COMMERCE PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	ATTORNEY DOCKET NUMBER				
` ,		ER TO THE UNITED STATES	15675.P322				
11		CTED OFFICE (DO/EO/US)					
		ING UNDER 35 U.S.C. 371	U.S. APPLICATION NO. (If known, see 37 CFR 1.5)				
	ONAL APPLICATION NO.	INTERNATIONAL FILING DATE	PRIORITY DATE CLAIMED				
PCT/FR9		January 12, 1999	January 12, 1998				
		for magnetic or magnetooptic recording -	UTILITY				
-	(S) FOR DO/EO/US						
	Chappert; Harry Bernas; Ja						
	herewith submits to the United S	tates Designated/Elected Office (DO/EO/US) the	following items and other information:				
1.	This is a FIRST submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.						
2.	This is a SECOND or SUBSEQUENT submission of items concerning a filing under 35 U.S.C. 371.						
3.	This express request to begin national examination procedures (35 U.S.C. 371(f)) at any time rather than delay examination until the expiration of the applicable time limit set in 35 U.S.C. 371(b)) and PCT articles 22 and 39(1).						
4.	A proper Demand for International Preliminary Examination was made by the 19th month from the earliest claimed priority date.						
5. 🛛	A copy of the International Application as filed (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).						
	a. X is transmitted herewith	(required only if not transmitted by the Internation	onal Bureau).				
	b. has been transmitted by the International Bureau.						
5 mmg 5 mmg	c. is not required, as the	application was filed in the United States Receiving	ng Office (RO/US).				
	A translation of the International Application into English (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(2)).						
	Amendments to the claims of the International Application under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).						
	a. is transmitted herewith (required only if not transmitted by the International Bureau).						
5) 25774 1	b. have been transmitted by the International Bureau.						
a delignation of the second of	c. have not been made; however, the time limit for making such amendments has NOT expired.						
277	d. have not been made and will not be made.						
T.	A translation of the amendments to the claims under PCT Article 19 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(3)).						
	3/1(c)(3)).						
	An oath or declaration of the inventor(s) (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(4)). 10. A translation of the annexes to the International Preliminary Examination Report under PCT Article 36 (35 U.S.C. 371(c)(5)).						
10. []							
Items 1		locument(s) or information included:					
11.	An Information Disclosure Sta	tement under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.					
12.		ecording. A separate cover sheet in compliance w	ith 37 CFR 3.28 and 3.31 is included.				
13″.	A FIRST preliminary amendment	• •					
	A SECOND or SUBSEQUENT						
14.	A subsequent specification.						
15.	A change of power of attorney a	and/or address letter.					
16. 🛮	Other items or information:						
		n-part of PCT/FR99/0043					
·	priority request; English s forms PCT/IB/301 & 304 x'lation of int'l. publ.; cop	pecification (continuation in part of public; request of filing; copy of int'l publication y of preliminary exam report w/new page (1; copy of English translation of prelim. ex	n no. WO 99/35657; verfied English (claims 1-6); verified English				

U.S. APPICON OF KNOWN 33-PFT 5) INTERNATIONAL APPLICATION NO PCT/FR99/00043				ATTORNEY'S DOCKET NUMBER 15675.P322				
17. X The follow	ing fees are submitted:		1	CAI	CULATIONS	FOR PTO USE ONLY		
	IAL FEE (37 CFR 1.	.492 (a) (1) - (5)):	ł					
	nal preliminary examinat		1					
	search fee (37 CFR 1.445)							
	Search Report not prepare		\$970.00					
International preli	iminary examination fee (37CFR1.482)not paid to						
USPTO but International Search Report prepared by the EPO or JPO \$840.00								
International preliminary examination fee (37 CFR 1.482) not paid to USPTO but international search fee paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.445(a)(2))								
	iminary examination fee p not satisfy provisions of	paid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.48 PCT Article 33(1)-(4)	40.00					
International preli	iminary examination fee t	oaid to USPTO (37 CFR 1.48	2)					
		Article 33(1)-(4)						
1	ENTER APPROPRI	IATE BASIC FEE AM	OUNT =	\$	840.00			
		or declaration later than	20 🔲 30	\$	-			
	iest claimed priority date NUMBER FILED		D A TEL					
CLAIMS Total claims		NUMBER EXTRA	RATE X \$18.00		0.00			
Independent claims	15 - 20 = 1 - 3 =	0	X \$78.00	\$	0.00			
	ENDENT CLAIM(S) (if		+ \$260.00	<u>\$</u>	260.00			
WOLTH EE DEI		F ABOVE CALCULA			1100.00			
Distriction of 1/2 for		applicable. Verified Small E		<u> </u>	1100.00			
must also by filed (I	Note 37 CFR 1.9, 1.27, 1	.28).		\$				
			TOTAL =	\$	1100.00			
Processing fee of \$130.00 for furnishing the English translation later than 20 30 months from the earliest claimed priority date (37 CFR 1.492(f)).				\$				
		TOTAL NATIO	NAL FEE =	\$	1100.00			
		CFR 1.21(h)). The assignment of CFR 3.28, 3.31). \$40.00 p		\$				
		TOTAL FEES EN		\$	1100.00			
				\$	Amount to be:	\$		
			ŀ	-	refunded			
interior and the second					charged	\$		
 a. A check in the amount of \$ 1100.00 to cover the above fees is enclosed. b. Please charge my Deposit Account No in the amount of \$ to cover the above fees. 								
	A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.							
c. The Commissioner is hereby authorized to charge any additional fees which may be required, or credit any overpayment to Deposit Account No. <u>022666</u> . A duplicate copy of this sheet is enclosed.								
NOTE: Where an appropriate time limit under 37 CFR 1.495 has not been met, a petition to revive 37 CFR 1.137(a) or (b)) must be filed and granted to restore the application to pending status.								
SEND ALL CORRESPONDENCE TO:					Celle			
				SIG	NATURE /			
Blakely, Sokoloff, Taylor & Zafman LLP					\mathcal{O}			
12400 Wilshire Blvd. 7th Floor					Eric S. Hyman			
Los Angeles	s, CA 90025-1026)		NA	ME			
					30 130			
				PE	30,139 GISTRATION NUMB	FR		
				KE	TIVITION INUME			

15

30

PCT/FR99/00043

534 Rec'd PCT/PTC 1 2 JUL 2000

MAGNETIC ETCHING PROCESS, ESPECIALLY FOR MAGNETIC OR MAGNETOOPTIC RECORDING

The present invention relates to a magnetic 5 etching process.

More particularly, the invention applies advantageously to ultrahigh-density magnetic recording (production of discrete magnetic materials, magnetic memory circuits, magnetically-controllable logic circuits, etc.), optical recording of the read-only memory type (CDROM, DVDROM, etc.) and production of magnetically-controllable optical circuits (diffraction gratings, photonic gap materials, etc.) using a controlled variation of the optical index component associated with the magnetism.

PRIOR ART

The extraordinary development of multimedia technologies and services in recent years has led to a 20 race to increase the recording density. In the field of rewritable disks, although optical (phase change) technologies are developing rapidly, magnetic techniques remain the first choice, and most particularly the "hard disk", for its high transfer 25 rate. However, the magnetic techniques ought to be limited to storage densities of 100 bits/cm².

One of the limiting factors will especially be the transition to contact recording, for distances between the read head and the recording medium of less than 10 nm: there is a trend toward recording technologies of the "tunnel-effect microscopy" ("STM-like storage") or "near-field" type.

Several technological jumps have been proposed in this direction in recent years, for example near-field CD-ROM or near-field magnetooptic recording.

In this regard, reference may advantageously be made to the following various publications:

- Y. Martin, S. Rishton, H.K. Wickramasinghe, Appl. Phys. Lett. **71**, 1 (1997).
- 5 Y. Betzig, J.K. Trautman, T.D. Harris, J.S. Weiner, R.L. Kostelak, Science **251**, 1468 (1991).
 - B.D. Terris, H.J. Mamin, D. Rugar, W.R. Studenmund, G.S. Kino, Appl. Phys. Lett. **65**, 388 (1994).
- 10 E. Betzig et al., Appl. Phys. Lett. **61**, 142 (1992).
 - M. Myamoto, J. Ushiyama, S. Hosaka, R. Imura, J. Magn. Soc. Jpn. 19-S1, 141 (1994).
- T.J. Silva, S. Schultz, D. Weller, Appl. Phys. 15 Lett. **65**, 658 (1994).
 - M.W.J. Prinz, R.H.M. Groeneveld, D.L. Abraham, H. van Kempen, H.W. van Kesteren, Applied. Phys. Lett. 66, 1141 (1995).

Reference may also be made to the publication:

- B.D. Terris H.J. Mamin, D. Rugar, Appl. Phys. Lett. 68, 141 (1996) in which it was announced that the company 3M would shortly be commercializing a magnetooptically-read "hard disk" using a solid immersion lens (SIL).
- However, the main limitation of magnetic techniques should be the "paramagnetic limit", that is to say the size below which the bits will be erased by themselves due to a thermal effect.
- the current hard disk technology, 30 recording medium is a particulate material (magnetic particles in a nonmagnetic matrix, ormagnetic particles (grains) separated by nonmagnetic boundaries (ME tape)). Now, minimization of the noise the necessitates increasing number of magnetic particles seen by the read head, while these particles must be magnetically decoupled as far as possible. The size of the particles is therefore very much less than the size of a bit. By extrapolating the current data,

30

the particles would become paramagnetic below 8 nm, thereby limiting the recording density to around 100 bits/ μm^2 .

In magnetooptic recording, the materials used at the present time are amorphous alloys of the rare earth/transition metal type, which could be replaced with Co/Pt multilayers or alloys with the advent of the blue laser. Bits 60 nm in size could actually be written by a thermomagnetic effect in continuous Co/Pt multilayers, but it is probable that noise problems due to the recording medium (domain stability, domain wall roughness) would intervene, at bit sizes very much greater than 60 nm.

To extend this limit, it has recently been proposed to replace the current recording medium materials with discrete materials in which the magnetic bit limits would be geometrically defined by lithographic methods:

either deposition on an etched surface,

20 S. Gadetsky, J.K. Erwin, M. Mansuripur,
J. Appl. Phys 79, 5687 (1996)

or growth of isolated magnetic particles whose size and position are defined by lithography,

S.Y. Chou, M.S. Wei, P.R. Krauss, P. Fischer, J. Appl. Phys. **76**, 6673 (1994).

The latter technique would allow there to be only a single magnetic particle per bit.

In parallel, pressing techniques based on a matrix defined by electronic lithography have been developed,

S.Y. Chou, P.R. Krauss, P.J. Renstrom, Science **272**, 85 (1996),

Y. Xia, X.M. Zhao, G.M. Whitesides, Microelecton. Eng. 32, 255 (1996),

which, just as in X-ray or interferential lithography, could in the near future allow mass production of etched media, with patterns very much less than one micron in size over areas of a few cm², probably sufficient for disks of the future.

20

25

30

35

However, in the current published work, these various techniques have several drawbacks:

- 1. Whatever the technique adopted, recording in contact mode will require a material having a low and controlled surface roughness: the etched materials proposed up until now will therefore require a final, and probably difficult, planarization step.
- 2. In the case of near-field magnetooptic recording, sudden variations in optical index (variations in reflectivity) of the etched material will give diffraction effects, which may be manifested by much greater polarization variations than those induced by the magnetic domains a source of unacceptable noise.
- 3. A final problem, at very high densities on these etched materials, concerns the following of the track, and it will probably be necessary to develop a specialized "track" for this purpose, but without degrading the points mentioned above.

PRESENTATION OF THE INVENTION

The subject of the invention is a magnetic etching process, characterized in that a thin-film magnetic material (comprising a few atomic planes) is controllably irradiated in order to locally modify, over regions having a width of the order of one micrometer or less, the magnetic properties of said material, such as, in particular, its coercivity, its magnetic anisotropy or its Curie temperature.

Such a process allows the aforementioned problems to be solved. In particular:

1. The roughness of the original film is unchanged by irradiation and can therefore be adjusted independently. In particular, it may be envisaged to carry out a postirradiation deposition (for the production of devices) under excellent growth conditions (% at an etched surface).

15

20

25

30

35

- 2. The optical index variations remain small for considerable changes in the magnetic properties and can, moreover, be controlled, within a certain range, almost independently of the magnetic variations obtained, by the structure of the substrate or the energy of the ions.
- 3. The effect of the irradiation is cumulative: it is possible to carry out the irradiation several times, and to obtain the same result as in a single time with the cumulative dose. This aspect may be useful when it is desired to irradiate several regions of the specimen with different values, or at different steps in the fabrication of a device.
- 4. The effect of the irradiation may be easily controlled in real time, by measuring the change in the properties (for example magnetic properties) over a test region.
 - 5. The technique is easy to employ for the mass production of recording media, and to do so economically since the tools that it requires to be used are either already used in microelectronics (irradiation) or are under development (lithography by pressing in the case of large areas and of nanometric sizes, for example).

Advantageously, the irradiation is carried out by means of an ion beam.

Other technical means of energy deposition could be envisaged.

The irradiation may be carried out through a resin mask or with the aid of a focused ion beam.

The aforementioned etching process is advantageously used for the ultrahigh-density magnetic or magnetooptic recording of binary information, especially for the production of discrete magnetic materials. οf magnetic memory circuits orof magnetically-controllable logic circuits.

In particular, the aforementioned process has the advantage of making it possible to write magnetic domains of size very much less than 100 nm and whose

30

35

position and geometry are perfectly defined and therefore to maximize the signal-to-noise ratio and optimize the track-following problems, while preserving perfectly controlled surface roughness.

In addition, the process proposed by the invention is advantageously used for producing an optical recording of the read-only memory type (CDROM, DVDROM, etc.).

It is known in fact that the near-field optical recording techniques will probably have to use smooth 10 writing materials, with a read head flying a few nm above said material (at the present time, 30 nm for a hard disk). current Now, the optical recording techniques of the read-only memory type are 15 satisfactory: the pressing methods, using dies, give sizes of less than 100 nm but the recording medium which is obtained is rough; as regards the writing methods using a focused laser beam (ablation, phase change), these do not make it possible to work with bit 20 sizes of the order of or less than 100 nm.

Applications other than the recording of binary information may be envisaged. In particular, magnetic etching process proposed by the invention is advantageously used for the production of magneticallycontrollable optical circuits (diffraction gratings, photonic gap materials, etc.) using a controlled variation of the optical index component associated with the magnetism, for the production of sensors (hard disk read heads, etc.) or magnetic memory circuits (extraordinary Hall-effect memory, magnetoresistive memory, spin-dependent tunnel-effect memory).

In particular, it is known that the emergence of photonic gap materials opens the way to producing optical devices and that one of the aspects to be resolved will be that of control of the device. The process proposed by the invention makes it possible, by irradiation through a mask, to manufacture a waveguide film made of nonmagnetic material, comprising a regular array of magnetic units (photonic crystal) having an

optical index which is both slightly different from that of the host material and magnetically controllable.

In general, the process proposed by the invention may apply whenever it is advantageous to define a magnetic element accurately, while maintaining a very high degree of planarity of the device (for example, in order to favor subsequent growth).

The process proposed by the invention may also be used for magnetically etching a layer already buried 10 beneath other, insensitive layers, by adjusting the irradiation conditions. For example, and by way of nonlimiting indication, it is possible to produce electrical circuits etched in the same thin-film 15 magnetic material, and only the important part of which will remain magnetic, the contact tracks having been made inactive by irradiation; the coercive field of a given region of a specimen may be controllably reduced guarantee that the reversal 20 magnetization will always occur under the same conditions, from the same site.

The process proposed by the invention may a priori be adapted to any material for which a minute variation in the local atomic arrangement can lead to a large modification in the magnetic properties, that is to say to transition metal alloys (e.g.: CoPt, NiFe, etc.), to rare earth/transition metal alloys (e.g.: TbFeCo, etc.) and to magnetic multilayers (e.g.: Co/Pt, Fe/Tb, etc.), without this list being exhaustive.

Co/Pt multilayers are materials which are potentially of interest for short-wavelength magnetooptic recording in blue light.

DESCRIPTION OF ONE OR MORE EMBODIMENTS

35

25

The process of magnetic etching by irradiation is described below in the case of magnetic multilayers irradiated by an ion beam and involves several steps, in which:

15

20

- (i) the composition and the roughness at the interfaces and on the surface of the layers are carefully controlled before irradiation;
- (ii) the multilayer structure is irradiated by an ion beam, the structural modification induced by the beam being controlled; in particular, the energy density deposited by the beam is controlled by choosing the mass and the energy of the incident ions;
- (iii) the irradiation may be completed by a suitable thermal annealing step in order to relax the stresses and/or induce local ordering.

In the case of magnetic materials, the effects of the process are important on alloys (transition metal alloys, rare earth alloys and rare earth/transition metal alloys) and on multilayer stacks of all types.

The process is advantageously employed on Co/Pt multilayers. It should be noted that these materials have already been very widely studied for their properties, firstly their perpendicular magnetic anisotropy and secondly their strong magnetooptic Kerr effect; they therefore constitute advantageous candidates for magnetooptic recording.

In materials based on ultrathin multilayer 25 films, the properties are dominated by the competition between the interface effects and the properties. For example, easy the magnetization direction is given by the sign of an effective anisotropy coefficient K_{eff} which, to а first 30 approximation, is given by:

$$K_{eff} = -K_{d} + K_{v} + \frac{(K_{s1} + K_{s2})}{t_{co}}$$

The first term represents the dipole shape anisotropy ($K_d > 0$), the second term represents the volume anisotropy ($K_v > 0$ in the case of Co) and the last term is due to the interfaces ($K_s > 0$ in the case of the Co/Pt interface), the influence of which varies

15

20

25

30

35

inversely with the Co thickness t_{Co} (K_{s1} and K_{s2} denoting the magnetic anisotropy coefficients of the two interfaces of the Co film. Depending on the sign of K_{eff} , the easy magnetization axis is either the axis perpendicular to the plane of the layers ($K_{eff} > 0$) or the plane of the film. The perpendicular configuration is necessary for magnetooptic recording and will probably become the standard for ultrahigh-density magnetic recording, all techniques included.

The process is preferably limited irradiation resulting in low energy deposition (small number of atomic displacements at the interfaces that we are interested in). This may be achieved, example, by light ions (e.g. He+) of low energy (from a few keV to about a hundred keV) or else by heavy ions (e.g. mass of the order of 100) of relatively high energy (typically, 1 MeV). The irradiation firstly modifies the composition of the interface therefore, in particular, the anisotropy. For the thinnest films (1 or 2 atomic planes) or for higher doses, the composition of the film and hence its volume magnetism are also modified (by transferring atoms from one layer to another): in the particular case of Co/Pt, the Curie temperature of the CoPt alloy decreases with Pt concentration, and becomes below room temperature at around 75% Pt.

For example, the inventors have rendered specimens, having а thickness t_{co} of paramagnetic at ordinary temperature, in a controlled manner, by irradiating, at a (very low) dose of 10¹⁵ ions/cm², with Kr ions accelerated to 300 keV, as well as with 30 keV He^+ ions at a dose of 10^{16} ions/cm².

The effects of the irradiation were firstly characterized on simple Pt(3.4 nm)/Co(t_{Co})/Pt(6.5 nm)/amorphous substrate (Herasil polished silica, SiO₂/Si, Si₃N₄/Si) sandwiches deposited by sputtering.

With the deposition technique used, magnetic films with a perpendicular easy magnetization axis and a perfectly square polar hysteresis loop (100% remanent

magnetization) within the Co thickness range: 0.3 - 1.2 nm are obtained before irradiation.

The irradiation of these specimens at He^+ ion fluences up to around 2×10^{15} atoms/cm², the ions being accelerated to energies of between 5 and 100 keV, makes it possible actually to adjust the magnetic properties of an ultrathin Co layer:

- 0.5 nm on thick layers (approximately 2.25 atomic planes), the main effect is a drop in the fall 10 temperature, which may temperature for a dose of the order of 2x10¹⁶ ions per cm². Below that, the film retains a perpendicular easy magnetization axis and a square loop, but the coercive field of which decreases uniformly when the irradiation 15 dose is increased. Square magnetization loops with coercivities of а few 0e have been Advantageous applications for the production of lowfield sensors may be envisaged;
- 2. on 1 nm thick specimens (approximately 5 atomic planes), the main effect of the irradiation is a tilt of the easy magnetization axis in the plane of the film, combined with a reduction in the interface anisotropy term K_s. The effect is obtained for low doses because the initial thickness is close to that (1.2 nm) at which the tilting effect occurs in the original specimens;
- 3. on specimens of intermediate thickness (0.8 nm, i.e. 4 atomic planes), the same doses have no visible effect on the hysteresis loop: at these 30 thicknesses, the Curie temperature is already very high (close to that of bulk Co), and therefore largely insensitive to small modifications of the interface. these thicknesses also being very far from the natural thickness for tilting of the easy magnetization axis. 35 This constitutes a useful characteristic of the process since it makes it possible, on the one hand, irradiate a bilayer while modifying only one of the layers and, on the other hand, to work at much higher doses, more conducive to homogeneity.

15

It should be noted that the acceleration energy of the ions has a lesser effect on the modification of the magnetic properties than on the depthwise distribution of the level of displacements in the material. This may allow the process to be employed in thin layers buried at substantially greater depths than those used in the demonstration example.

An essential characteristic of the process proposed is that, although the effect of the irradiation on the magnetism is great, its effect on the optical reflectivity of the specimen remains small.

The contrast is invisible to the naked eye, and barely visible in a good microscope (contrast comparable to that of a domain wall in a Pt/Co/Pt specimen). The smallness of the optical effect is due to the smallness of the induced structural modifications.

Tests on (Pt/Co)₆/Pt multilayer stacks also carried out. The structures of these multilayers 20 (thicknesses, number of Co/Pt periods) were chosen around the values normally used for magnetooptic recording media. Compared with the simple picture of variation in anisotropy with thickness, Co explained above in the case of the simple films, the 25 effects of the irradiation on the magnetic properties are made more complex in multilayers by the magnetic interaction between the layers, which may be bipolar in origin, or an exchange interaction carried by the conduction electrons in the platinum. The 30 interaction, which is actually manifested by ferromagnetism of the Pt for the interface layers, raise the Curie temperature of multilayers, especially when the Co thickness is very small. The presence of these two interactions also 35 leads to the existence of quite a wide Co thickness range in which the system is decomposed into regular magnetic domains within which the magnetization is perpendicular ("strip" domain configuration), even for

20

25

30

35

slightly negative K_{eff} values where an easy magnetization plane would be expected.

The tests were carried out on two series of specimens, of the same Co thickness (and therefore the same single layer anisotropy) and the same number of periods, but differing in the thickness of the Pt separating layer:

A series: $Pt(2 nm)/[Pt(1.4 nm)/Co(0.3 nm)]_6/Pt(6.5 nm)$ B series: $Pt(2 nm)/[Pt(0.6 nm)/Co(0.3 nm)]_6/Pt(6.5 nm)$

In the case of the В series, the 10 of the alloy after concentration interdiffusion would be about 66% (ferromagnetic alloy) while it would be 82% for the A series (nonmagnetic alloy). On the other hand, in the B series, in which the Pt interlayer is thinner, the Co layers are more 15 highly interacting, which in principle makes it easier to obtain the "strip" domain configuration, followed by the easy magnetization plane, by a reduction in the anisotropy.

Over the range of doses tested (up to 1016 in the case of the A series and 2.6×10¹⁶ in the case of the B series), the irradiation results show qualitatively the same effects for both series: gradual (and easily controllable) transition from a perpendicular magnetization axis (with a perfectly square hysteresis whose coercive field decreases with the irradiation dose) to a "strip" domain configuration, and then to an easy magnetization plane. As explained above, this tilting takes place at a lower dose for the B series $(3\times10^{15} \text{ as opposed to } 6\times10^{15} \text{ ions/cm}^2)$. At the doses used, all the specimens remained ferromagnetic at room temperature.

In all the cases described above, no variation in the surface roughness of the specimen could be detected by AFM in air, even for extremely low, of the order of 0.2 nm rms, initial roughnesses.

Tests with irradiation through a resin mask were also carried out.

10

15

20

25

30

35

On Pt(3.4 nm)/Co(0.5 nm)/Pt(6.5 nm)/Herasil simple sandwich specimens, two types of resin were tested:

1. A Shipley negative resin, suitable for submicron lithography by X-ray lithography. The resin had been deposited as a thick_ $(0.8~\mu\text{m})$ layer over only half of a specimen and then annealed under the usual conditions. The entire specimen was then irradiated and the resin removed, again under the usual conditions (hot trichloroethylene bath).

The part unprotected by the resin reproduces the effects of the irradiation that were described above, whereas the protected part shows no change in its properties. In principle, using processes already developed elsewhere, the use of the same resin, but with in addition an X-ray lithography step in order to define an array of holes therein, should at the very least make it possible to obtain arrays of magnetically etched bits 0.2 μ m in size separated by 0.2 μ m, i.e. a recording density of 25 bits per μ m², almost 20 times greater than the current densities;

a PMMA positive resin suitable for electron lithography. The resin was deposited as a layer about 0.85 μm in thickness and in this case was not annealed, something which might have an influence on the quality of the pattern edges. Under the standard annealing conditions for this resin (160°C, 30 min) effects start to appear in the specimens, but annealing of just as lower temperatures quality is possible at (<120°C), at which the specimens are insensitive). Next, the specimens underwent an electron lithography step in order to define, as recesses in the resin, an array of lines 1 μ m in width, separated by 1 μ m, over an area of $800\times800 \ \mu\text{m}^2$. The entire specimen was then irradiated and the resin removed under the standard conditions. Observation in a magnetooptic microscope that, irradiation at the chosen $(10^{16} \text{ atoms/cm}^2)$, the irradiated part paramagnetic at room temperature (this state has the

10

15

20

25

30

35

advantage of eliminating the coupling between magnetic regions). The part protected by the resin remains magnetized perpendicularly, with a square loop similar to that of the original specimen.

The same electron lithography process as above applied to a Pt(2 nm)/[Pt(0.6 nm)/Co(0.3 nm)]₆/ Pt(6.5 nm) multilayer of the B series in order to array of lines, followed by create the same irradiation at a dose of 2×10^{15} atoms/cm². However, unlike in the case of the single 0.5 nm Co layer, the two parts (the protected part and the irradiated part) retain a perpendicular magnetization and a square loop with, however, a lower coercive field in the case of irradiated part. In fact, observation magnetooptic microscope clearly shows a reversal of the reverse applied field after in the magnetization saturation, which firstly takes place in the irradiated lines and then propagates into the unirradiated parts (lines and film outside the array). In the intermediate domains artificially created by magnetic region, lithography are therefore obtained. Next, tests were carried out using near-field magnetooptic microscopy, which made it possible to see these artificial domains consequently demonstrates the very precisely. This "contact" recording the proposed feasibility of process. On the other hand, on specimens that were similar but were etched by material ablation, the same only near-field microscopy technique reveals diffraction effects.

It should be noted that, after irradiation, the PMMA resin becomes more difficult to remove. Residues remaining along the features introduce roughness and a weak optical contrast of nonmagnetic origin, something which requires an additional stripping procedure in an "oxygen plasma" (a procedure well known in microtechnologies).

Finally, given the precision of PMMA-resin electron lithography, we might expect to achieve bit

15

sizes of less than 100 nm, i.e. a density greater than 100 bits/ $\mu\mathrm{m}^2$.

The techniques of the type that have just been described are advantageously used for manufacturing structures, magnetic include buried which films of magnetically production especially for the structured recording media or of magnetoelectronic devices, such as M-RAM memories, logic devices, etc.

They allow planar magnetic etching of buried magnetic layers, which does not modify the surface roughness of the material and makes it possible to control the variations in optical properties, for example to make them negligible.

These techniques can be used for mass production on an industrial scale.

Using light ions, which have no etching effect, these can be deeply implanted into the substrate, well below the layer.

The parameter is then the energy deposited per ion along the trajectory - and not the cascades of defects generated by heavy ions - thereby allowing excellent control of the electromagnetic modifications, for high doses, something which gives a homogeneous effect.

Moreover, an easy nucleation region, due to the reversal of the magnetization) and associated with phenomena occurring at the border of the irradiated region, is intrinsically obtained with the proposed technique. This is a major advantage for controlling and standardizing the magnetization reversal field in an assembly of magnetic "particles", either for a recording medium material or for a memory or logic chip, without limitation.

25

30

FR 009900043

534 Rec'd PCT/PTC 1 2 JUL 2000

CLAIMS

- Writing process, in which said material 1. irradiated by means of a beam of light ions, such as He⁺ ions, having an energy of the order of or less than a hundred keV, characterized in that this material is a thin-layer material comprising buried layers deposited on a substrate, and in that one or more regions having sizes of the order of 1 micrometer or less irradiated, the irradiation dose being controlled so as 10 to be a few 10¹⁶ ions/cm² or less, the irradiation modifying the composition of atomic planes material at an interface between two layers of the latter.
- Process according to claim 1, characterized in 15 that the irradiation is carried out through a mask.
 - magnetooptic magnetic or for the Process recording of binary information, especially for the production of discrete magnetic materials, of magnetic memory circuits or of magnetically-controllable logic circuits, characterized in that it employs a writing process according to one of the preceding claims.
 - Optical recording process of the read-only memory type, characterized in that it employs a writing process according to either of claims 1 and 2.
 - Process according to either of claims 5 and 6, characterized in that the recording material magnetic multilayer material, the individual layers of which are pure metals or transition metal alloys or rare earth alloys.
 - Process for producing magnetically-controllable optical circuits using a controlled variation of the optical index component associated with magnetism, characterized in that it employs a writing process according to either of claims 1 and 2.
- 35

Our ref.: 15475 . P322

DECLARATION AND POWER OF ATTORNEY FOR PATENT APPLICATION

As a below named inventor, I hereby declare that:

My residence, post office address and citizenship are as stated below, next to my name,

I believe I am the original, first and sole inventor (if only one name is listed below) or an original, first and joint inventor (if plural names are listed below) of the subject matter which is claimed and for which a patent is sought on the invention entitled.

MAGNETIC ETCHING PROCESS, ESPECIALLY FOR MAGNETIC OR MAGNETOOPTIC RECORDING

the specification of which

is attached hereto
was filed on JANUARY 12, 1999 as PCT International
Application Serial No.PCT/FR99/00043
And was amended on
(if applicable)

Thereby state that I have reviewed and understand the contents of the above-identified specification, including the claims, as amended by any amendment referred to above. I do not know and do not believe that the same was ever known or used in the United States of America before my invention thereof, or patented or described in any printed publication in any country before my invention thereof or more than one year prior to this application, that the same was not in public use or on sale in the United States of America more than one year prior to this application, and that the invention has not been patented or made the subject of an inventor's certificate issued before the date of this application in any country foreign to the United States of America on an application filed by me or my legal representatives or assigns more than twelve months prior to this application.

acknowledge the duty to disclose information which is material to the examination of this application in accordance with Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a).

hereby claim foreign priority benefits under Title 35, United States Code, Section 199, of any foreign application(s) for patent or inventor's certificate listed below and have also identified below any foreign application for patent or inventor(s) certificate having a filing date before that of the application on which priority is claimed:

Prior Foreign	Application(s)		Priority Claimed		
98/00199 (Number)	FRANCE (Country)	12/JANUARY/1998 (Day/Month/Year Filed)	XX Yes	No	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	
(Number)	(Country)	(Day/Month/Year Filed)	Yes	No	

I hereby claim the benefit under Title 35, United States Code, Section 120 of any United States application(s) listed below and, insofar as the subject matter of each of the claims of this application is not disclosed in the prior United States application in the manner provided by the first paragraph of Title 35, United States Code, Section 112, I acknowledge the duty to disclose material information as defined in Title 37, Code of Federal Regulations, Section 1.56(a) which occurred between the filing date of the prior application and the national or PCT international filing date of this application.

ate: Ju1y	4, 2000
Citizenship: F	rance (Country)
Date:	
Citizenship:	(Country)
Date:	(Country)
	Citizenship: F Pate: Citizenship:

Pending (Status - patented, pending, abandoned) (Filing Date) (Application Serial No.) (Status - patented, pending, abandoned) (Filing Date) (Application Serial No.) (Status - patented, pending, abandoned) (Filing Date)

I hereby appoint BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR & ZAFMAN, a firm including : Keith G. Askoff, Reg. No. 33,828; Aloysius T.C. AuYeung, Reg. No. 35,432; Bradley J. Bereznak, Reg. No. 33,474; Michael A. Bernadicou, Reg. No. 35,934; Roger W. Blakely, Jr.; Reg. No. 25,831; Timothy R. Croll, Reg. No. 36,771; Daniel M. De Vos, Reg. No. 37,813; Scott A. Griffin, Reg. No. 38,167; Stephen D. Gross, Reg. No. 31,020; David R. Halvorson, Reg. No. 33,395; Michael D. Hartogs, Reg. No. 36,547; Brian D. Hickman, Reg. No. 35,894; George W. Hoover II, Reg. No. 32,992; Paul H. Hostmann, Reg. No. 36,167; Eric S. Hyman, Reg. No. 30,139; Dag H. Johansen, Reg. No. 36,172; Stephen L. King, Reg. No. 19,180; Joseph T. Lin, Reg. No. 38,225; Michael J. Mallie, Reg. No. 36,591; James D. McFarland, Reg. No. 32,544; Anthony C. Murabito, Reg. No. 35,295; Kimberley G. Nobles, Reg. No. 38,255; Ronald W. Reagin, Reg. No. 20,340; Kent R. Richardson, Reg. No. P-39,443; James H. Salter, Reg. No. 35,668; William W. Schaal, Reg. No. P-39,018; James C. Sheller, Reg. No. 31,195; Edward W. Scott IV, Reg. No. 36,000; Maria E. Sobrino, Reg. No. 31,639; Stanley W. Sokoloff, Reg. No. 25,128; Allan T. Sponseller, Reg. No. 38,318; John C. Stattler, Reg. No. 36,285; Edwin H. Taylor, Reg. No. 25,129; Lester J. Vincent, Reg. No. 31,460; Ben J. Yorks, Reg. No. 33,609; and Norman Zafman, Reg. No. 26,250; my attorneys; and William D. Davis, Reg. No. 38,428; Gary B. Goates, Reg. No. 35,159; Soyeon P. Laub, Reg. No. P-39,266; Thomas X. Li, Reg. No. 37,079; and Edwin A. Sloane, Reg. No. 34,728; my patent agents, with offices located at 12400 Wilshire Boulevard, 7th Floor, Los Angeles, California 90025, telephone (310) 207-3800, with full power of substitution and revocation, to prosecute this application and to transact all business in the Patent and Trademark ffice connected herewith.

hereby declare that all statements made herein of my own knowledge are true and that all statements made on Information and belief are believed to be true; and further that these statements were made with the knowledge that willful false statements and the like so made are punishable by fine or imprisonment, or both, under Section 1001 of Title 18 of the United States Code and that such willful false statements may jeopardize the validity of the application or any patent issued thereon.

full Name of Sole/First Inventor: CHAPPERT, Claude

fnventor's Signature:

(Application Serial No.)

Residence 1, rue des Cliquets, 92380 Garches, France

(City, State)

Post Office Address: The same as residence

July 4, 2000 Date:

Citizenship: France

(Country)

Full Name of Second/Joint Inventor: BERNAS Harry

Inventor's Signature:

Residence: 23, rue Louis Morard,

75014 PARIS, FRANCE

(City, State)

Date:

July 4, 2000

Citizenship: France

(Country)

Post Office Address: The same as residence